

ON 3-DIMENSIONAL CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS

YOSHIO AGAOKA*, BYUNG HAK KIM** AND JIN HYUK CHOI**

**Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences,
Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8521, Japan*

***Department of Mathematics and Institute of Natural Sciences,
Kyung Hee University, Suwon 449-701, Korea*

Abstract

Let M be a 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifold satisfying $(*)$ -condition. We denote such a manifold by M^* . We prove that if M^* is η -Einstein, then M^* is either Sasakian or cosymplectic manifold, and is a space of constant curvature. Consequently M^* is either flat or isometric to the 3-dimensional unit sphere if M^* is complete and simply connected.

1. Introduction

The conformal curvature tensor C is invariant under conformal transformations and vanishes identically for 3-dimensional manifolds. Using this fact many authors [1, 3, 4, 6] studied 3-dimensional almost contact manifolds. In [5], they introduced a new class of almost contact manifold M^* containing quasi-Sasakian and trans-Sasakian structure. Moreover they constructed non-trivial examples. In this paper, we study a 3-dimensional η -Einstein manifold M^* by use of the fact that C vanishes identically and the special form of Ricci curvature. Consequently, we prove that the 3-dimensional η -Einstein manifold M^* becomes either Sasakian or cosymplectic manifold, and is a space of constant curvature. In the cosymplectic case, M^* is flat, and if M^* is Sasakian, complete and simply connected, then M^* is isometric to the 3-dimensional unit sphere, that is M^* is either flat or isometric to $S^3(1)$ under this topological condition.

2. Almost contact metric structure

Let M be an m -dimensional real differentiable manifold of class C^∞ covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{U; x^h\}$, in which there are given a tensor field ϕ of type $(1,1)$, a vector field ξ and a 1-form η satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1$$

for any vector field X on M . Such a set of (ϕ, ξ, η) is called an *almost contact structure* and we call a manifold with an almost contact structure an *almost contact manifold*. In an almost contact manifold, if there is given a Riemannian metric g such that

Key words: Conformal curvature tensor, almost contact metric manifold, space of constant curvature.

** This work was supported by ABRL Grant Proj. No. R 14-2002-003-01000-0 from KOSEF and Engineering Foundation.

Received October 1 2002; Accepted November 1 2002

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for all vector fields X and Y on M , we say M has an *almost contact metric structure* and g is called a compatible metric. Setting $Y = \xi$, we have immediately $\eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$.

The fundamental 2-form Φ is defined by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y)$. It is known that the almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) is normal if and only if the Nijenhuis tensor

$$N(X, Y) = [\phi, \phi](X, Y) + 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi$$

vanishes, where $[\ , \]$ is a bracket operation and d denotes the exterior derivative. An almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) on M is said to be

- (a) *Sasakian* if $\Phi = d\eta$ and (ϕ, ξ, η) is normal,
- (b) *cosymplectic* if Φ and η are closed and (ϕ, ξ, η) is normal.

In [5], one of the present author defined a new class of almost contact metric structure on M which satisfies

$$(*) \quad d\Phi = 0, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \lambda \phi X \quad \text{and} \quad (\phi, \xi, \eta) \text{ is normal}$$

for a smooth function λ on M and ∇ denotes the Riemannian connection for g . Briefly, we denote such a manifold by M^* . It is easily seen that M^* is cosymplectic if $\lambda = 0$, and Sasakian if λ is a non-zero constant.

Theorem 1 [5]. *On M^* , we have*

$$(2.2) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)(Y, Z) = \lambda \{ \eta(Y)g(X, Z) - \eta(Z)g(X, Y) \},$$

$$(2.3) \quad R(X, \xi)Y = (X\lambda)(\phi Y) + \lambda^2 \{ \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi \},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \xi\lambda = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad S(\xi, X) = (\phi X)\lambda + (m-1)\lambda^2 \eta(X),$$

where S is the Ricci curvature tensor and R is the curvature tensor defined by

$$R(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z.$$

3. 3-dimensional almost contact manifolds

Let M^* be a 3-dimensional manifold satisfying (*). It is well known [2] that the conformal curvature tensor of Weyl vanishes identically for 3-dimensional manifolds. Therefore the curvature tensor R of a 3-dimensional manifold M^* is given by

$$(3.1) \quad R(X, Y)Z = -S(X, Z)Y + S(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)QY \\ + g(Y, Z)QX + \frac{r}{2} \{ g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X \},$$

where r is the scalar curvature and Q is defined by $g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$. Using (2.3), (2.5) and (3.1), we have

$$(3.2) \quad S(X, Y) = \eta(X)(\phi Y)\lambda + \eta(Y)(\phi X)\lambda \\ + \left(\frac{r}{2} - \lambda^2\right)g(X, Y) + \left(3\lambda^2 - \frac{r}{2}\right)\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

If we substitute (3.2) into (3.1), then we get

$$(3.3) \quad R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W) \\ = -\eta(X)((\phi Z)\lambda)g(Y, W) - \eta(Z)((\phi X)\lambda)g(Y, W) \\ + \eta(Y)((\phi Z)\lambda)g(X, W) + \eta(Z)((\phi Y)\lambda)g(X, W) \\ - \eta(Y)((\phi W)\lambda)g(X, Z) - \eta(W)((\phi Y)\lambda)g(X, Z) \\ + \eta(X)((\phi W)\lambda)g(Y, Z) + \eta(W)((\phi X)\lambda)g(Y, Z) \\ + \left(2\lambda^2 - \frac{r}{2}\right)\{g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(X, W)\} \\ + \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3\lambda^2\right)\{(\eta(X)g(Y, W) - \eta(Y)g(X, W))\eta(Z) \\ + (\eta(Y)g(X, Z) - \eta(X)g(Y, Z))\eta(W)\}.$$

If we put $Y = \xi$ in (3.3), then by (2.3) we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad (X\lambda)\Phi(Z, W) + \lambda^2\{\eta(Z)g(X, W) - \eta(W)g(X, Z)\} \\ = \lambda^2\{\eta(Z)g(X, W) - \eta(W)g(X, Z)\} \\ + ((\phi W)\lambda)\{\eta(X)\eta(Z) - g(X, Z)\} \\ - ((\phi Z)\lambda)\{\eta(X)\eta(W) - g(X, W)\},$$

that is

$$(3.5) \quad (X\lambda)\Phi(Z, W) = ((\phi W)\lambda)\{\eta(X)\eta(Z) - g(X, Z)\} \\ - ((\phi Z)\lambda)\{\eta(X)\eta(W) - g(X, W)\},$$

or in local components

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda_k \Phi_{ih} = \phi_h^t \lambda_t (\eta_i \eta_k - g_{ik}) - \phi_i^t \lambda_t (\eta_h \eta_k - g_{hk}),$$

where $\lambda_k = \partial_k \lambda$ and the indices i, j, k, t run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. From (3.5) or (3.6), we can calculate

$$(3.7) \quad \|\nabla_k \Phi_{ij}\|^2 = (\lambda_k \Phi_{ij})(\lambda^k \Phi^{ij}) = 4\|\lambda_t\|^2 - 2\|\phi_i^t \lambda_t\|^2,$$

where $\lambda^k = g^{ik} \lambda_i$. Moreover we can easily see that

$$\|\phi_i^t \lambda_t\|^2 = \|\lambda_t\|^2.$$

Lemma 2. *In a 3-dimensional manifold M^* , the function λ is constant if and only if $(\phi X)\lambda = 0$ for all X .*

If the Ricci curvature S on M is of the form

$$(3.8) \quad S(X, Y) = a\mathcal{G}(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

then M is called an η -Einstein space [1,6,7]. If M^* is η -Einstein, then we have

$$(3.9) \quad 3a + b = r$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad a + b = r - 4\lambda^2$$

by use of (2.1), (3.2) and (3.8). Hence we get $a = 2\lambda^2$ and $b = r - 6\lambda^2$. Therefore the Ricci curvature S becomes

$$(3.11) \quad S(X, Y) = (2\lambda^2)\mathcal{G}(X, Y) + (r - 6\lambda^2)\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

If we put $Y = \xi$ in (3.11), then we get

$$(3.12) \quad (\phi X)\lambda = (r - 6\lambda^2)\eta(X)$$

from (2.5) and (3.11). If we set $X = \xi$ in (3.12), then it gives

$$(3.13) \quad r = 6\lambda^2,$$

that is

$$(3.14) \quad (\phi X)\lambda = 0$$

and that

$$(3.15) \quad S(X, Y) = 2\lambda^2\mathcal{G}(X, Y)$$

from (3.11). We see that λ is constant from Lemma 2 and (3.14). Since 3-dimensional Einstein space is a space of constant curvature, we obtain the following theorem by using Lemma 2, (3.14) and (3.15).

Theorem 3. *Let M^* be a 3-dimensional η -Einstein manifold. Then M^* is a space of constant curvature. Moreover M^* is either Sasakian or cosymplectic manifold.*

In case $\lambda = 0$, since M^* is a space of constant curvature, we have $r = 0$ and hence $R(X, Y)Z = 0$, that is M^* is flat.

On the other hand, E. M. Moskal obtained the following result (cf. [7]).

Theorem 4. *Let M be a complete and simply connected Sasakian manifold. If M is Einstein and of positive curvature, then it is isometric to the unit sphere.*

If λ is non-zero constant, then M^* is Sasakian. Therefore this fact and Theorems 3 and 4 reduce

Theorem 5. *Let M^* be a 3-dimensional η -Einstein manifold. Then M^* is either flat or isometric to $S^3(1)$ if M^* is complete and simply connected.*

Acknowledgement. *The authors would like to express their thanks to the referee for his careful reading and helpful suggestions.*

References

1. D. E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, PM203, Birkhäuser, Berlin (2002).
2. B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of submanifolds*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1973).
3. F. Gouli-Andreou and P. J. Xenos, *On a class of 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds*, *J. Geom.*, **63** (1998), 64-75.
4. J. B. Jun, I. B. Kim and U. K. Kim, *On 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds*, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **34** (1994), 293-301.
5. J. H. Kwon and B. H. Kim, *A new class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds*, *Comm. Korean Math. Soc.*, **8** (1993), 455-465.
6. S. Sasaki, *Almost contact manifolds, Lecture notes*, Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Univ. **1** (1965).
7. S. Tanno, *Promenades on spheres*, Tokyo Inst. Tech., Tokyo (1996).